

ZYGOMA IMPLANTS
STEP BY STEP





ZYGOMA IMPLANTS

STEP BY STEP

Edited by

ARUN K. GARG, DMD

Professor of Surgery
Division of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery
Department of Surgery
University of Miami School of Medicine
Miami, Florida

 **QUINTESSENCE PUBLISHING**

Berlin | Chicago | Tokyo
Barcelona | London | Milan | Mexico City | Paris | Prague | Seoul | Warsaw
Beijing | Istanbul | Sao Paulo | Zagreb



One book, one tree: In support of reforestation worldwide and to address the climate crisis, for every book sold Quintessence Publishing will plant a tree (<https://onetreepanted.org/>).



Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Garg, Arun K., 1960- author.
Title: Zygoma implants : step by step / Arun K. Garg.
Description: Batavia, IL : Quintessence Publishing Co, Inc, [2023] | Includes bibliographical references and index. | Summary: "Provides the rationale for using zygoma implants in cases of severe maxillary atrophy or trauma and details the supporting evidence for their use, their indications in clinical practice, and the many techniques and combination approaches available to clinicians"- Provided by publisher.

Identifiers: LCCN 2022044704 | ISBN 9781647241575 (hardcover)
Subjects: MESH: Dental Implants | Zygoma-surgery
Classification: LCC RK667.I45 | NLM WU 640 | DDC 617.6/93-dc23/eng/20221209
LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2022044704>

A CIP record for this book is available from the British Library.
ISBN: 978-1-64724-157-5



© 2023 Quintessence Publishing Co, Inc

Quintessence Publishing Co, Inc
411 N Raddant Rd
Batavia, IL 60510
www.quintpub.com

5 4 3 2 1

All rights reserved. This book or any part thereof may not be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publisher.

Editor: Leah Huffman
Design: Sue Zubek
Production: Sue Robinson

Printed in Croatia



Preface *vii*
Contributors *viii*

- 1 Zygoma Implants: Rationale, Indications, and Overview 2**
Arun K. Garg | Angelo Cardarelli
- 2 The ZAGA Concept: A Multifaceted Approach to Zygoma Implant Rehabilitation 12**
Carlos Aparicio
- 3 Anatomy and Pathophysiology of Maxillary Bone Atrophy 30**
Guillermo E. Chacon
- 4 Zygoma Implants: Step-by-Step Surgical Protocol 40**
Komal Majumdar
- 5 Oral Rehabilitation with Pterygoid Implants 80**
Henri Diederich | J. O. Agbaje
- 6 Two Zygoma Implants in Combination with Conventional or Tilted Implants 94**
Arun K. Garg | Renato Rossi, Jr | Jack T. Krauser
- 7 Quadruple Zygoma Implants 104**
Vishtasb Broumand
- 8 Multiple Zygoma Implants 114**
Cesar A. Guerrero | Marianela Gonzalez Carranza | Adriana Sabogal



9 Zygoma Minimally Invasive Technique with Piezoelectric Instrumentation 124

Andrea Tedesco

10 Step-by-Step Prosthetic Protocol for Zygoma Implants 132

Komal Majumdar


11 Prosthetic Restoration of Zygoma Implants 162

Maria Del Pilar Rios | Jack T. Krauser | Thomas Balshi

12 Zygoma Implants in the Patient with Cancer or Maxillofacial Trauma 190

Renato Rossi, Jr | Arun K. Garg | Alfred Seban

Index 201



Copyright
Not for publication
Quintessence

Over two decades have passed since P-I Brånemark published the first study demonstrating the efficacy of using extra-maxillary implants to support a prosthesis in patients with an extremely atrophic maxilla. In the intervening period, numerous additional studies have confirmed the long-term stability and predictability of zygoma implants, with reported survival rates ranging from 95% to more than 98% over 3 to 12 years of follow-up. Today, zygoma implants are the standard of care in the treatment of patients with severe maxillary bone atrophy who cannot be rehabilitated with surgical bone augmentation and/or the placement of conventional or tilted implants.

This book is designed for experienced implant surgeons who wish to acquire both a broad understanding of the various surgical and prosthetic protocols being practiced around the world today as well as the knowledge to perform these procedures in step-by-step fashion in their own practices. Unlike many edited volumes, the chapters in this book are not a random collection of articles mashed together but a carefully selected and organized series of chapters that build the reader's knowledge from start to finish.

The distinguished contributors were selected based on their decades of actual clinical knowledge and experience with zygoma implants and exceptional clinical skill. Each has contributed extensively to the rapid progress that has been achieved over the past two decades in our ability to restore function and esthetics in a long-neglected patient population. Additionally, because these authors come from many different parts of the world, this book represents the most innovative and advanced knowledge and techniques available anywhere on this topic.

Patients who qualify as candidates for zygoma implant therapy usually get only one chance to regain their masticatory function, so the stakes for this treatment are very high. For that reason, extra care has been taken to equip the reader with comprehensive knowledge of every facet of the surgical and prosthetic treatment protocols, ranging from patient evaluation and selection to step-by-step procedures and the management of complications. To help guide and enhance the reader's comprehension, ample professional drawings and case illustrations have been beautifully reproduced for maximum educational benefit by Quintessence Publishing, the world's preeminent publisher of professional dental literature.

Contributors



J. O. Agbaje, BDS, DMD, MMI, PhD

Postdoctoral Fellow
Katholieke Universiteit Leuven
Leuven, Belgium

Carlos Aparicio, MD, DDS, MSc, DLT, PhD

Founder and President of ZAGA Centers
Barcelona, Spain

Thomas Balshi, DDS, PhD

Retired from Private Practice in Prosthodontics
Fort Washington, Pennsylvania

Vishtasb Broumand, DMD, MD

Private Practice in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery
Phoenix, Arizona

Adjunct Clinical Assistant Professor
Banner MD Anderson Cancer Center
Mesa, Arizona

Angelo Cardarelli, DDS

Adjunct Professor of Oral Surgery
Vita Salute San Raffaele University

Private Practice
Milan, Italy

Guillermo E. Chacon, DDS

Private Practice in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery
Puyallup, Washington

Henri Diederich, DDS

Private Practice
Luxembourg City, Luxembourg

Arun K. Garg, DMD

Professor of Surgery
Division of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery
Department of Surgery
University of Miami School of Medicine
Miami, Florida

Marianela Gonzalez Carranza, DDS, MS, MD

Clinical Associate Professor
Director of Undergraduate Oral Surgery Training
Texas A&M University College of Dentistry
Dallas, Texas

Cesar A. Guerrero, DDS

Private Practice in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery
Houston, Texas

Jack T. Krauser, DMD

Private Practice in Periodontics
North Palm Beach, Florida

Komal Majumdar, DDS

Private Practice
Navi Mumbai, India



Maria Del Pilar Rios, DDS, PhD

Associate Professor of Prosthodontics
Santa Maria University

Private Practice
Caracas, Venezuela

Renato Rossi, Jr, DMD, MSc, PhD

Director
Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Residency Program
University of São Caetano do Sul

Private Practice in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery
São Paulo, Brazil

Adriana Sabogal, DDS

Private Practice
Cali, Colombia

Alfred Seban, DDS, PhD

Head of Department of Oral Surgery
Paris Diderot University
Paris, France

Andrea Tedesco, DDS


Research Fellow, Oral Surgery Unit
S. Chiara Hospital, University of Pisa
Pisa, Italy

Private Practice
Florence, Italy



Zygoma Implants: Rationale, Indications, and Overview

Arun K. Garg
Angelo Cardarelli




Zygoma implants are often the anchoring mechanism selected by the interdisciplinary surgical/restorative team in the prosthetic reconstruction of the extremely atrophic maxilla, whether the maxillary defect is congenital in origin (eg, cleft lip and palate) or acquired via injury or following tumor resection in cancer treatment. In the surgical/restorative team, the surgical associates are guided by the restorative dentist's decisions regarding type and placement of provisional and final prostheses. Both zygoma implants and traditional or tilted implants are often chosen to provide optimal prosthesis support and distribution of occlusal forces.

The literature confirms the safety, predictability, and cost-efficiency of zygoma implant procedures.¹⁻⁹ When the maxillary bone cannot sufficiently anchor a prosthesis to restore the region's function

and esthetics via traditional implant placement, zygoma implants can often be a good solution. In these cases, zygoma implants not only reduce the overall treatment time because fewer implants are placed, but they also restore function immediately without the need for bone grafting, thereby avoiding the added morbidity of extra procedures. However, the patient will be subject to a more complex procedure, including sedation (general or deep) and more complicated and potentially problematic treatment conditions if the implants fail. Therefore, patient selection is crucial for zygoma implants. The scientific basis for zygoma implants stems from the anatomy of the zygomatic bone itself along with the surrounding cranial structures. These two factors must be considered to determine which patients are most suitable for the procedure as well as to develop appropriate perioperative treatment plans.

FIG 1-1 (facing page) The apical portion of the zygoma implant is osseointegrated into the zygomatic bone. The coronal portion of the implant can be osseointegrated into the alveolar crest, although on occasion it simply rests on the crest and is not osseointegrated into it. The body of the zygoma implant may be through the maxillary sinus, adjacent to the maxillary sinus by elevating the sinus membrane prior to implant placement, or outside of the bony housing of the maxillary sinus.

FIG 1-2 (a) Zygoma implants come in a variety of designs. The apical portion is always intended to osseointegrate within the zygomatic bone. (b) Zygoma implants are commercially available in a variety of lengths to accommodate differences in distance between the zygomatic bone and residual alveolar crest in each patient.



Rationale for Zygoma Implants

Insufficient maxillary bone often precludes the use of conventional or tilted implants to support prostheses aimed at restoring function and esthetics in the maxilla. Zygoma implants offer a solution to this problem, but the tradeoff is a more complicated procedure with more risks for the patient down the line. The anatomy of the zygoma and the specifications of the implants designed to exploit that anatomy provide clinicians with concrete evidence to support zygoma implant procedures.

Zygoma anatomy

The anteroposterior length of the zygomatic bone averages 14 to 25.5 mm and ranges in thickness from 7.5 to 9.5 mm. Upon placement of a zygoma implant, slightly more than one-third of the implant (14–16.5 mm) comes into direct contact with the zygoma's solid, sturdy outer cortex, where the implant obtains primary stability^{10–14} (Fig 1-1).

To ensure a palatal emergence profile of the zygoma implant, the original procedure called for

implant placement within the sinus.^{15,16} The clear advantage to palatal emergence is that as the maxilla resorbs, the basal bone remaining in the maxilla will orient posteriorly to the alveoli while the zygomatic bone position remains constant. However, this emergence profile requires increased buccal cantilevers because of the relative bulkiness of the prosthesis required for such a platform.

Zygoma implant specifications

Zygoma implants sold in the United States generally are available in lengths ranging from 30 to 52 mm. Because clinicians must have some leeway in determining the proper path for drilling into the zygomatic bone, the implant emergence point in the palate may have to be widened. Therefore, zygoma implants are tapered in diameter, from 5 mm at the coronal end (about one-third the length of the implant) to 4 mm in diameter for the remaining apical end of the implant (Fig 1-2). The coronal portion usually has a 45-degree platform for connection to the prosthesis.^{12,17–19}




FIG 1-3 Radiographic view of zygoma implants, pterygoid implants, and conventional or tilted implants in the maxilla.

Patient Selection for Zygoma Implants

Patient selection for zygoma implants depends on patient expectations, maxillary bone indications regarding implant suitability, and a number of medical and dental contraindications that direct nontreatment or alternative treatment.^{17,20-22}

Indications

Designed by Per-Ingvar Brånemark and ranging in length from 30 to 62 mm or slightly longer, zygoma implants anchor in the zygoma when there is insufficient or no available anchorage in the alveolar bone of the maxilla to support a full-arch prosthesis. Zygoma implants can be used alone or in conjunction with conventional implants. Often when the posterior maxilla suffers from near complete atrophy, there is still enough bone in the anterior maxilla to anchor traditional or tilted implants to assist in the positioning and support of a prosthesis secured primarily by zygoma implants^{4,7,23-26} (Fig 1-3). When the anterior maxilla is too resorbed due to defects⁷ (cleft lip or palate, tumor resection, or unsuccessful implant/bone restorations), zygoma implants are used alone to support and retain the prosthesis.^{1-3,5,6,27-31}

Contraindications

As a major dental procedure, zygoma implants are contraindicated for many patients, including medically compromised patients with systemic conditions such as diabetes mellitus, patients suffering from acute sinusitis, and patients whose maxillary alveolar bone can support traditional implants. Depending on the severity of conditions, damage to the masticatory muscles (trismus) may also be a contraindication for zygoma implants, as may radiation treatments affecting bone quality in the head and neck regions.^{32,33}


Perioperative Overview for Zygoma Implants

The original surgical procedure for zygoma implants has evolved over the years to accommodate the diverse restorative circumstances associated with individual patients and to prevent surgical and postoperative complications.

Original (intrasinus) surgical procedure

The clinician chooses general anesthesia or intravenous deep sedation for the procedure. For hemorrhage control and nerve blocks (superior alveolar, infraorbital, and greater palatine), local anesthesia

FIG 1-4 Drawing depicting the area of nasal elevation and the zygomatic bone after reflection and the incisura.



is administered intraorally (the maxillary vestibule and the posterior hard palate). When deep sedation is used, the zygoma prominence is also treated with extraoral anesthesia/infiltration.^{12,18,34}

When preparing the osteotomy and placing implants, clinicians generally use a contra-angle handpiece if the patient's mouth opening is restricted. The keratinized gingiva is bisected between the tuberosities via a crestal incision. The clinician then makes vertical incisions posteriorly along the maxillary buttress and anteriorly within the midline region. An elevated mucoperiosteal flap reveals the alveolar crest, lateral maxilla and antral wall, infraorbital nerve, zygomaticomaxillary complex, and the lateral surface of the zygomatic bone cranially, to the area between the lateral/medial surfaces of the frontal process and arch of the zygomatic bone, namely the incisura (Fig 1-4).

Although exposing the infraorbital rim is not necessary, exposing the infraorbital nerve is important because this nerve marks the boundary for the interior placement of two ipsilateral zygoma implants, when such an approach is required. To reduce cantilevering by increasing the posteroanterior separation of two ipsilateral implants, the clinician places the two implants so that their apices converge rather than lie parallel. Posterior implant placement is performed as close as possible to the posterior lateral wall of the maxillary sinus, and anterior implant

placement is achieved as close anteriorly to the infraorbital nerves as safety will permit.

Retraction of soft tissues at the incisura (via careful placement of a zygoma retractor near the infraorbital rim) facilitates proper angulation of implants during placement. The zygoma implant platforms usually emerge in the region of the first molar or second premolar, unless an ipsilateral implant is required, in which case this will shift to the canine region.

The path of the implant is determined visually. The zygoma retractor provides a view of the targeted base of the zygomatic bone, and a straight measurement tool or drill bit can be laid across the lateral wall of the maxilla as a directional guidepost for drilling. Using a 105-degree handpiece with a round bur, the clinician penetrates the zygomatic bone regions for implant placement. To establish the final width of the implant site, the clinician first uses a 1-mm twist drill to pierce the cortices of the zygoma, followed by a 2-mm drill. An osteotomy depth gauge, which uses a hook to reach the superior cortex, measures osteotomy depth in 5-mm increments.

The implants are placed manually or with a handpiece. The clinician must prevent soft tissue from engaging the implant body and becoming embedded in the osteotomy, which may adversely affect osseointegration. The clinician should place the implant tip

2 mm past the superior cortex of the zygomatic bone, with the implant platform flush to the occlusal plane and as near the maxillary bone as possible. Next, the clinician retrieves the implant mount and places the cover screws. If two ipsilateral zygoma implants are placed, traditional implants may or may not be placed in the anterior maxilla for additional support. Finally, the clinician checks to ensure that bleeding has been controlled and applies copious irrigation before suturing with 3-0 polyglactin 910 (Vicryl, Ethicon).^{12,18,34}

Surgical complications

Common complications involve unintended incursions into regions adjacent to the zygoma, namely the orbit and the temporal fossa (Fig 1-5). Incursions into the former are best avoided by the clinician's correct use of the zygoma retractor in the incision, ensuring a clear view of the region. Incursions into the temporal fossa result from an excessive posterior placement of the zygoma implant relative to the base of the bone; occasionally, the lack of adequate zygomatic bone can cause an incursion as well, particularly when ipsilateral implants are placed. Repositioning the implant bed anteriorly can remedy this complication.³⁵⁻³⁷

Postoperative procedures

Clinicians familiar with postoperative procedures for implant placement into the maxilla accompanied by a sinus elevation will already be familiar with the procedures needed following the zygoma implant placement, namely safety measures related to the sinus, a soft food diet, and analgesic and antibiotic regimens.^{1,38,39}

Postoperative complications

In addition to the kinds of postoperative complications associated with any dental implant procedure, the zygoma implant procedure has the additional concerns of a resulting oroantral communication and sinusitis. Sinusitis is a complication often associated with the intrasinus zygoma implant approach because it requires incursive surgery—a foreign body (the implant) placed in the sinus—possibly resulting

in oroantral communication. Antibiotics and nasal decongestants are often the medical treatment of choice for sinusitis. However, a surgical remedy may be needed if medical treatment fails (eg, functional endoscopic sinus surgery, or FESS).^{9,40-42}

Modified surgical procedures

A number of modified surgical approaches and procedures have been developed since Brånemark and colleagues pioneered the intrasinus approach in 1998.^{15,16} For example, using an extrasinus zygoma implant can reduce complications in the sinus while simultaneously optimizing buccal cantilevering, because the clinician avoids penetrating the maxillary sinus and what remains of the maxilla's alveolar ridge.^{24,34,43-45} (Fig 1-6).

Several specific modifications to the zygoma implant procedure have resulted in designated nomenclature. For example, the sinus slot technique does not require the creation of a sinus window, and it also optimizes the position of the zygoma implant.^{26,46} The sinus slot procedure provides for an increase in the vertical position of the zygoma implant as well as a superior buccal location of the implant platform. The crestal incision is shorter in this procedure, and the mucoperiosteal flap is raised to provide the clinician enhanced visibility. Additionally, the alveolar ridge is exposed via reflection of the palatal mucosa. The clinician creates a bur hole superiorly on the contour of the zygomatic buttresses as well as a bur hole on the alveolar ridge so that the apertures can be connected by a slot orienting the drilling for implant placement in the zygomatic bone. The result is good visibility of the implant during the procedure as well as superior bone-to-implant contact, because the implant intrusion into the sinus is correspondingly lessened, reducing complications.¹⁰

The zygoma anatomy-guided approach (ZAGA) to implant placement focuses on the creation of an optimal osteotomy site by emphasizing the two elemental structures of the procedure: the zygomatic bone anchor and the fixed prostheses themselves.⁴⁷ The specific conditions of each patient's edentulous maxilla guide the site preparation of the zygoma implant, obviating slot/window preparation of the lateral wall of the maxillary sinus. Optimal implant





FIG 1-5 Proper zygoma implant placement is within the zygomatic bone and is generally kept within the temporal process of the zygomatic bone. Complications arise if incursions extend into the adjacent vital structures, including the orbit, temporal fossa, infraorbital foramen, infraorbital groove and fissure, or the zygomatic process.






FIG 1-6 (a) Zygoma implant with roughened threads designed to engage both the zygomatic bone and the crestal alveolar bone being removed from its sterile packaging immediately prior to placement. (b) Placement of the first of four zygoma implants using an extrasinus approach with adequate flap reflection. (c) The second of the four zygoma implants in position. (d) All four of the zygoma implants in place and the hex oriented appropriately over the alveolar crest for prosthetics. (e) The flaps sutured back into position.

emergence on the alveolar ridge (for superior prosthetic performance) and the patient's own zygomatic bone anatomy control implant length and apical placement. Thus, the points of emergence and

apical placement determine whether the implant's path is intrasinus, extrasinus, or some combination of the two.⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰




FIG 1-6 (cont) (f) Radiographic appearance of the four zygoma implants in the maxilla and the four tilted implants in the mandible. (g) The transitional maxillary and mandibular prostheses in place.

Conclusion

The zygoma implant procedure is generally safe, predictable, and cost-efficient. The literature continues to show that zygomatic bone anatomy and the anchoring systems designed to take advantage of that anatomy can guide the interdisciplinary surgical/restorative team in patient selection and patient-specific treatment planning. When maxillary implants cannot be used to restore the severely

atrophied maxilla to function and esthetics, zygoma implants can often provide not only the means for efficient and effective anchoring of a prosthesis but also immediate function with fewer implants and a reduced treatment time. For these benefits, many patients are willing to undergo general or deep sedation for the procedure and to accept the risk of complications associated with a deep-anatomy implant failure.

References

1. Chana H, Smith G, Bansal H, Zahra D. A retrospective cohort study of the survival rate of 88 zygomatic implants placed over an 18-year period. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2019;34:461–470.
2. Aleksandrowicz P, Kusa-Podkańska M, Grabowska K, Kotula L, Szkatula-Lupina A, Wysokińska-Miszczuk J. Extra-sinus zygomatic implants to avoid chronic sinusitis and prosthetic arch malposition: 12 years of experience. *J Oral Implantol* 2019;45:73–78.
3. Butterworth CJ. Primary vs secondary zygomatic implant placement in patients with head and neck cancer—A 10-year prospective study [epub ahead of print 21 Jan 2019]. *Head Neck* doi: 10.1002/hed.25645.
4. Agliardi EL, Romeo D, Panigatti S, de Araújo Nobre M, Maló P. Immediate full-arch rehabilitation of the severely atrophic maxilla supported by zygomatic implants: A prospective clinical study with minimum follow-up of 6 years. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2017;46:1592–1599.
5. Araújo RT, Sverzut AT, Trivellato AE, Sverzut CE. Retrospective analysis of 129 consecutive zygomatic implants used to rehabilitate severely resorbed maxillae in a two-stage protocol. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2017;32:377–384.
6. Davó R, Pons O. 5-year outcome of cross-arch prostheses supported by four immediately loaded zygomatic implants: A prospective case series. *Eur J Oral Implantol* 2015;8:169–174.
7. Maló P, de Araújo Nobre M, Lopes A, Ferro A, Moss S. Extramaxillary surgical technique: Clinical outcome of 352 patients rehabilitated with 747 zygomatic implants with between 6 months and 7 years. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2015;17(suppl 1):e153–e162.
8. Yates JM, Brook IM, Patel RR, et al. Treatment of the edentulous atrophic maxilla using zygomatic implants: Evaluation of survival rates over 5–10 years. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2014;43:237–242.
9. Bedrossian E. Rehabilitation of the edentulous maxilla with the zygoma concept: A 7-year prospective study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 201;25:1213–1221.
10. Dechow PC, Wang Q. Evolution of the jugal/zygomatic bones. *Anat Rec (Hoboken)* 2017;300:12–15.
11. Dechow PC, Wang Q. Development, structure, and function of the zygomatic bones: What is new and why do we care? *Anat Rec (Hoboken)* 2016;299:1611–1615.
12. Vega L, Louis PJ. Zygomatic Implants. In: Haggerty CJ, Laughlin RM (eds). *Atlas of Operative Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, 2015:42–47.
13. Van Steenberghe D, Malevez C, Van Cleynenbreugel J, et al. Accuracy of drilling guides for transfer from three-dimensional CT-based planning to placement of zygoma implants in human cadavers. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2003;14:131–136.
14. Uchida Y, Goto M, Katsuki T, Akiyoshi T. Measurement of the maxilla and zygoma as an aid in installing zygomatic implants. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2001;59:1193–1198.
15. Brånemark PI, Gröndahl K, Öhrnell LO, et al. Zygoma fixture in the management of advanced atrophy of the maxilla: Technique and long-term results. *Scand J Plast Reconstr Surg Hand Surg* 2004;38:70–85.
16. Brånemark PI. *Surgery and Fixture Installation: Zygomaticus Fixture Clinical Procedures*, ed 1. Göteborg: Nobel Biocare AB, 1998.
17. Aparicio C, Manresa C, Francisco K, et al. Zygomatic implants: Indications, techniques and outcomes, and the zygomatic success code. *Periodontol* 2000 2014;66:41–58.
18. Sharma A, Rahul GR. Zygomatic implants/fixture: A systematic review. *J Oral Implantol* 2013;39:215–224.
19. Malevez C, Daelemans P, Adriaenssens P, Durdu F. Use of zygomatic implants to deal with resorbed posterior maxillae. *Periodontol* 2000 2003;33:82–89.
20. Yao J, Tang H, Gao XL, McGrath C, Mattheos N. Patients' expectations to dental implant: A systematic review of the literature. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2014;12:153.
21. Pommer B, Mailath-Pokorny G, Haas R, Busenlechner D, Fürhauser R, Watzek G. Patients' preferences towards minimally invasive treatment alternatives for implant rehabilitation of edentulous jaws. *Eur J Oral Implantol* 2014;7(suppl 2):S91–S109.
22. Peñarrocha M, Carrillo C, Boronat A, Martí E. Level of satisfaction in patients with maxillary full-arch fixed prostheses: Zygomatic versus conventional implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2007;22:769–773.
23. Aboul-Hosn Centenero S, Lázaro A, Giralto-Hernando M, Hernández-Alfaro F. Zygoma quad compared with 2 zygomatic implants: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Implant Dent* 2018;27:246–253.
24. Coppedè A, de Mayo T, de Sá Zamperlini M, Amorin R, de Pádua APAT, Shibli JA. Three-year clinical prospective follow-up of extrasinus zygomatic implants for the rehabilitation of the atrophic maxilla. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2017;19:926–934.
25. Fortin Y. Placement of zygomatic implants into the malar prominence of the maxillary bone for apical fixation: A clinical report of 5 to 13 years. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2017;32:633–641.
26. Araújo PP, Sousa SA, Diniz VB, Gomes PP, da Silva JS, Germano AR. Evaluation of patients undergoing placement of zygomatic implants using sinus slot technique. *Int J Implant Dent* 2016;2:2.
27. Davó R, Felice P, Pistilli R, et al. Immediately loaded zygomatic implants vs conventional dental implants in augmented atrophic maxillae: 1-year post-loading results from a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Eur J Oral Implantol* 2018;11:145–161.
28. Esposito M, Davó R, Marti-Pages C, et al. Immediately loaded zygomatic implants vs conventional dental implants in augmented atrophic maxillae: 4 months post-loading results from a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Eur J Oral Implantol* 2018;11:11–28.
29. Neugarten J, Tuminelli FJ, Walter L. Two bilateral zygomatic implants placed and immediately loaded: A retrospective chart review with up-to-54-month follow-up. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2017;32:1399–1403.
30. Aparicio C. A proposed classification for zygomatic implant patient based on the zygoma anatomy guided approach (ZAGA): A cross-sectional survey. *Eur J Oral Implantol* 2011;4:269–275.
31. Balshi SF, Wolfinger GJ, Balshi TJ. A retrospective analysis of 110 zygomatic implants in a single-stage immediate loading protocol. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2009;24:335–341.
32. Davó R, David L. Quad zygoma: Technique and realities. *Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am* 2019;31:285–297.
33. Vega LG, Gielincki W, Fernandes RP. Zygoma implant reconstruction of acquired maxillary bony defects. *Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am* 2013;25:223–239.

34. Grecchi F, Bianchi AE, Siervo S, Grecchi E, Lauritano D, Carinci F. A new surgical and technical approach in zygomatic implantology. *Oral Implantol (Rome)* 2017;10:197–208.
35. Tuminelli FJ, Walter LR, Neugarten J, Bedrossian E. Immediate loading of zygomatic implants: A systematic review of implant survival, prosthesis survival and potential complications. *Eur J Oral Implantol* 2017;10(suppl 1):79–87.
36. Dawood A, Kalavresos N. Management of extraoral complications in a patient treated with four zygomatic implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2017;32:893–896.
37. Molinero-Mourelle P, Baca-Gonzalez L, Gao B, Saez-Alcaide LM, Helm A, Lopez-Quiles J. Surgical complications in zygomatic implants: A systematic review. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2016;21:e751–e757.
38. Salvatori P, Mincione A, Rizzi L, et al. Maxillary resection for cancer, zygomatic implants insertion, and palatal repair as single-stage procedure: Report of three cases. *Maxillofac Plast Reconstr Surg* 2017;39:13.
39. Sakkas A, Schramm A, Karsten W, Gellrich NC, Wilde F. A clinical study of the outcomes and complications associated with zygomatic buttress block bone graft for limited preimplant augmentation procedures. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2016;44:249–256.
40. Chrcanovic BR, Albrektsson T, Wennerberg A. Survival and complications of zygomatic implants: An updated systematic review. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2016;74:1949–1964.
41. D'Agostino A, Trevisiol L, Favero V, Pessina M, Procacci P, Nocini PF. Are zygomatic implants associated with maxillary sinusitis? *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2016;74:1562–1573.
42. Bothur S, Kullendorff B, Olsson-Sandin G. Asymptomatic chronic rhinosinusitis and osteitis in patients treated with multiple zygomatic implants: A long-term radiographic follow-up. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2015;30:161–168.
43. Boyes-Varley JG, Howes DG, Lownie JF, Blackbeard GA. Surgical modifications to the Brånemark zygomaticus protocol in the treatment of the severely resorbed maxilla: A clinical report. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2003;18:232–237.
44. Migliorança RM, Sotto-Maior BS, Senna PM, Francischone CE, Del Bel Cury AA. Immediate occlusal loading of extrasinus zygomatic implants: A prospective cohort study with a follow-up period of 8 years. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2012;41:1072–1076.
45. Aparicio C, Ouazzani W, Aparicio A, et al. Extrasinus zygomatic implants: Three year experience from a new surgical approach for patients with pronounced buccal concavities in the edentulous maxilla. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2010;12:55–61.
46. Stella JP, Warner MR. Sinus slot technique for simplification and improved orientation of zygomaticus dental implants: A technical note. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2000;15:889–893.
47. Aparicio C, Manresa C, Francisco K, et al. Zygomatic implants placed using the zygomatic anatomy-guided approach versus the classical technique: A proposed system to report rhinosinusitis diagnosis. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2014;16:627–642.
48. Hung KF, Ai QY, Fan SC, Wang F, Huang W, Wu YQ. Measurement of the zygomatic region for the optimal placement of quad zygomatic implants. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2017;19:841–848.
49. Wang F, Monje A, Lin GH, et al. Reliability of four zygomatic implant-supported prostheses for the rehabilitation of the atrophic maxilla: A systematic review. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2015;30:293–298.
50. De Moraes PH, Olate S, Nóbilo Mde A, Asprino L, de Moraes M, Barbosa Jde A. Maxillary “All-On-Four” treatment using zygomatic implants. A mechanical analysis. *Rev Stomatol Chir Maxillofac Chir Orale* 2016;117:67–71.



Page numbers followed by "f" denote figures.

A

Abutments
 multiunit. *See* Multiunit abutments.
 provisional, 176–179, 177f

All-on-4, 40, 117

Alveolar bone, 45

Alveolar process, 34

Alveolar ridge
 denture-related resorption of, 37
 residual, 47, 47f
 resorption of, 37
 systematic contouring of, 14

Anchorage, 115

Anesthesia, 4–5, 44, 54, 116

Angled implants, 104

Angular artery, 35f

Anterior maxilla, 4, 48, 117

Anterior nasal spine, 34

Anterior superior alveolar artery, 35

Anteroposterior spread, 169

Antrostomy zone, 16, 16f

AP spread, 169

Aparicio classification, 117

Apposition grafts, 80

Arc of smile, 166, 167f

Atrophic jaw
 grafting in, 67f
 restoration of, 80–81

Atrophic maxilla
 age-related decreases in blood supply as cause of, 37, 39
 complete, 117, 118f–119f
 computed tomography of, 20f, 31f
 etiology of, 104
 growth factors for, 101
 imaging of, 71f–72f, 144f
 platelet-rich plasma for, 101
 quad zygoma implants for. *See* Quad zygoma implants.
 quality of life effects of, 162
 surgical procedures for restoring, 104
 ZAGA benefits for, 19–20

Augmentin, 54, 72

Autogenous bone grafting, 40

AZ. *See* Antrostomy zone.

B

Bedrossian classification, 41, 42f, 117, 150

Bending moments, 169

Biomechanics, 169

Bisque trial, 155, 155f

Bite registration, 147f, 173–174

Bone augmentation, 40, 80, 114

Bone grafting, 40, 101, 117

Bone-stabilizing screws, 145f

Bone-supported drill guides, 62–63

Bone-to-implant contact, 15, 15f, 105

Brånemark retractor, 117

Buccal artery, 35f

C

Cancer patients, zygoma implants in
 case example of, 194, 195f–196f
 dental/facial prosthesis fabrication, 193
 overview of, 190
 patient selection, 191–192
 placement of, 193
 postoperative recovery and care, 194
 presurgical multidisciplinary planning, 191–192
 provisional prosthesis, 193–194, 194f
 surgical resection techniques, 193

CBCT. *See* Cone-beam computed tomography.

Channel osteotomy, 18, 19f

Chlorhexidine rinse, 101

Class I maxillomandibular relationship, 168

Class III malocclusion, 115

Class III maxillomandibular relationship, 168

Cleidocranial dysostosis, 120f

Complete dentures
 alveolar ridge resorption caused by, 37
 disadvantages of, 80
 timing of, 40

Cone-beam computed tomography
 fields of view, 46
 maxillary bone assessments, 171
 surgical landmarks, 46–48, 46f–48f
 zygoma evaluations, 46, 47f, 170

Craniofacial implants, 193

Crestal bone preparation, for piezoelectric surgery, 126, 127f

Cross-arch splinting, 132, 169

Crossbite, 115

INDEX

D

Deep auricular artery, 34
Delayed loading, 180
Denture(s)
 alveolar ridge resorption caused by, 37
 cleaning of, 165f
 complete. See Complete dentures.
 conversion of, direct technique with. See Direct technique with immediate denture conversion.
 fabrication of, 149f, 172, 172f
 multiunit abutments on, 133, 134f
 provisional prosthesis conversion of, 151f
 surgical guide created from, 170, 171f
 timing of, 40
Denture-obturator prosthesis, 193
Depth gauge, 117
Depth probe, 52f, 53, 61f
Diabetes mellitus, type 2, 39
Diagnostic cast, 172
Diamond drills, 51, 52f, 64f, 74
DICOM files. See Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine files.
Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine files, 46, 63, 73f
Digital impression with fabrication of metal bar and snap-on PMMA prosthesis, 143–148, 144f–148f
Digital simulation, 170
Direct technique with immediate denture conversion
 description of, 133
 steps involved in, 133–137, 134f–137f
Distraction osteogenesis, 80
Divine proportions, 168
Diving board effect, 159, 159f
Drill(s), 51, 52f, 53
Drilling stent, 65f, 75f, 75–76

E

Edentulism
 computed tomography of, 32f
 maxillary resorption caused by, 36–37, 38f
 posterior maxilla, 32
 statistics regarding, 30
Ethmoid bone, 7f
Extended sinus technique, 108–109
Exteriorized technique, 14
External carotid artery, 35f
External jugular vein, 36
Extramaxillary technique, 14, 105–106, 109–110, 110f–111f
Extrasinus placement, of zygoma implants, 6, 8f, 14, 105–106, 109–110, 110f–111f
EZgoma, 63, 63f

Facial artery, 35f
Facial harmony, 166
Facial midline, 167, 167f
Failed implants, 25, 26f
FESS. See Functional endoscopic sinus surgery.
Fistula, 111
FOP. See Fox occlusal plane.
Fox occlusal plane, 182
Free bone grafts, 80
Frontozygomatic suture, 43f
Functional endoscopic sinus surgery, 6, 48

G

Greater palatine artery, 84f
Greater palatine nerve, 84f
Growth factors, 101
Guided surgery
 description of, 170–171
 for pterygoid implants, 89, 89f
 for quad zygoma implant placement, 71–76, 71f–76f
 for single zygoma implant placement, 66

H

Hand driver, 52f, 53
Hard palate, 84f
Healing abutments, 146f
Highmore's antrum, 31

I

Immediate loading, 101, 106, 132–133, 143, 165, 190–192
Implant kits, 51–53, 51f–52f, 99f
Implant-bone contact, 15, 15f, 105
Impression, master, 181–185, 182f–184f
Impression jig, 181
Impression posts, 152f
Incisive foramen, 84f
Incisive fossa, 33
Indirect technique with laboratory-fabricated provisional
 advantages of, 138
 description of, 138
 heat-curing acrylic resin used in, 138–140
 milled PMMA used in, 141–143, 141f–143f
Inferior alveolar artery, 34–35, 35f
Inferior alveolar veins, 35
Inferior ophthalmic vein, 35
Inferior orbital fissure, 7f
Infraorbital artery, 35, 35f
Infraorbital canal, 33
Infraorbital foramen, 7f, 33, 33f
Infraorbital groove, 7f

Infraorbital nerve, 5, 34, 157f
 Infraorbital rim, 33
 Infratemporal fossa, 45
 Infrazygomatic crest, 106
 Instrumentation, 51–53, 51f–52f
 Internal carotid artery, 35f
 Internal maxillary artery, 35f
 Interocclusal records, 152–153, 153f
 Interocclusal registration, 173–174
 Interocclusal rest space, 168
 Interocclusal space, 169
 Intraoral blocks, 73
 Intrasinus surgical procedure, 4–6, 5f, 13f–15f, 15, 105
 IRS. See Interocclusal rest space.

J

Jig, 152, 152f, 183, 183f

L

Labial flange, 167
 Lateral negative space, 168
 Le Fort I incision, 106, 109
 Lesser palatine artery, 84f
 Lesser palatine nerve, 84f
 Lingual artery, 35f
 Lip movement, 167
 Lip support, 167

M

Mandibular foramen, 35
 Mandibular resorption, 37
 Mandibular subapical osteotomy, 115
 Masseter, 45
 Master impression, 181–185, 182f–184f
 Masticatory muscles, 4
 Maxilla
 anatomy of, 31–36, 32f–33f, 168–169
 anchorage in, 115, 117
 anterior, 48, 117
 anteroposterior spread of, 169
 arch shape of, 168–169
 atrophy of. See Atrophic maxilla.
 deficiency of, 114–115, 118f
 edentulous, 30–31
 eminences of, 33
 growth of, 36
 innervation of, 34
 insufficient bone, 3
 lateral surface of, 108
 lip support, 167
 piezoelectric surgical technique preparation, 126
 posterior, 84–85, 84f–85f
 remodeling of, 36–39

 resection of, 164f
 resorption of, 36–39, 37f, 72f, 168
 shape of, 168–169
 surfaces of, 33–34
 vascular anatomy of, 34–35, 35f
 zones of, 41–42, 42f
 Maxillary artery, 34–35, 35f, 84, 84f
 Maxillary nerve, 34
 Maxillary sinus
 anatomy of, 31–32, 48, 72f
 curvature of lateral wall of, 46–47, 47f, 71
 imaging of, 144f
 perforation of, 45
 pneumatization of, 32, 32f, 80
 sinusitis, 6, 13, 48, 101, 158
 Maxillary teeth, 34
 Maxillary tuberosity
 anatomy of, 82, 82f, 85f
 description of, 34
 Maxillary vein, 34, 35f, 35–36
 Maxillectomy, 193
 Maxillofacial trauma patients, zygoma implants in
 case example of, 194, 195f–196f
 dental/facial prosthesis fabrication, 193
 overview of, 190
 patient selection, 191–192
 placement of, 193
 postoperative recovery and care, 194
 presurgical multidisciplinary planning, 191–192
 provisional prosthesis, 193–194, 194f
 surgical resection techniques, 193
 Maximum intercuspation, 148f, 156f
 Mental artery, 35f
 Metal framework trial, 155, 155f, 184–185
 Microvascular bone grafts, 80
 Midcrestal flap, 194f
 Midcrestal incision, 54, 55f, 66, 74
 Middle meningeal artery, 34–35
 Middle meningeal vein, 35
 Midface reconstruction, 192
 Midline, 167, 167f
 Milled PMMA, for laboratory-fabricated provisional, 141–143, 141f–143f
 Milling, 184
 Mock surgery, 74f, 74–76
 MUAs. See Multiunit abutments.
 Mucoperiosteal flap, 5
 Mucosa-supported drill guides, 62–63
 Multidetector computed tomography, 46
 Multiunit abutments
 advantages of, 149
 angulation of, 175, 175f
 built-in, 174
 cuff height, 175
 description of, 53, 70, 76, 77f, 129f, 133–134, 134f–135f, 138, 138f, 141
 impression copings, 181
 insertion of, 176, 176f
 placement of, 151

INDEX

selection of, 175–176
titanium cylinders placed over, 139f, 142f
torque application to, 157

O

Occlusal contact points, 156f
Occlusal records, 173f, 173–174
Occlusion
 adjustments in, 185
 vertical dimension of, 97, 168, 173f, 173–174, 185
Offset, rhinosinusitis, infection, and stability criteria, 21
Onlay grafts, 80
Open tray impression, 139f, 141f, 151, 181
Ophthalmic nerve, 34
Oral hygiene, 164
Orbit
 invasion of, 157
 zygoma implant proximity to, 44f
ORIS criteria. *See* Offset, rhinosinusitis, infection, and stability criteria.
Oroantral communication, 6, 13, 71, 158
Oronasal communication, 163, 164f
Orosinus fistula, 111
Osteomeatal complex, 111, 158
Osteopenia, 37
Osteoporosis, 37
Osteotomy
 channel, 18, 19f
 coronal, 19
 depth gauge for, 4
 drills for, 51, 52f, 53
 preparatory protocol for, 125
 technique for, 54–61, 55f–61f
 tunnel-shaped, 17, 19f

P

Palatal emergence profile, 3, 49, 49f, 105
Palatal implant emergencies, 164, 164f
Palatine artery, 35, 84
Palatine bone, pyramidal process of, 82, 83f, 85f
Parallel smile arc, 166
Passivity, 181, 183f–184f
Pattern Resin, 181, 183, 183f
PEEK, 169–170
Periodontitis, 80
Piezoelectric surgical technique
 advantages of, 124–125
 crestal preparation, 126, 127f
 implant insertion, 129–130, 129f–130f
 instrumentation for, 125, 126f
 maxillary preparation, 126
 overview of, 125
 zygomatic preparation, 128, 128f
Platelet-rich fibrin, 76
Platelet-rich plasma, 94, 101

PMMA
 digital impression with fabrication of metal bar and snap-on PMMA prosthesis, 143–148, 144f–148f
 milled, for laboratory-fabricated provisional, 141–143, 141f–143f
 trial fit for, 154f, 154–155
Pneumatization, of maxillary sinus, 32, 32f, 80
Polyetheretherketone. *See* PEEK.
Posed smile, 166
Posterior superior alveolar artery, 35, 84, 84f, 157
Posterior superior alveolar nerve, 84–85
Posterior superior dental nerve, 84–85
Postoperative procedures, 6
PRF. *See* Platelet-rich fibrin.
Prosthetic driver, 176f
Prosthetic restoration
 bisque trial, 155, 155f
 case presentation, 150–157, 150f–157f
 clinical evaluation for
 biomechanics, 169
 extraoral evaluation, 166–168
 facial harmony, 166
 intraoral evaluation, 168–170
 lip support, 167
 midline, 167, 167f
 patient expectations, 163–165, 164f–165f
 patient selection, 165
 reason for consultation, 163, 164f
 smile, 166, 167f
 complications of, 158–159, 159f
 delivery of, 157, 185
 digital simulation, 170
 existing, 170
 fabrication of, 184
 impression for, 151–152, 152f
 interocclusal records, 152–153, 153f
 maintenance of, 185–186
 material selection, 149–150
 materials for, 169–170
 metal framework trial, 155, 155f
 multiunit abutments, 149
 occlusal adjustments, 185
 occlusal records, 173f, 173–174
 PMMA trial, 154f, 154–155
 provisional prosthesis conversion and delivery, 176–180
 radiology, 170
 surgical guide, 170, 171f
 teeth setting trial, 153, 154f
 treatment planning, 171–172
 verification jig, 152, 152f
 vertical dimension of occlusion, 173f, 173–174
 virtual planning, 170
Prosthodontics
 anteroposterior components of, 115
 horizontal components of, 115
 skeletal maxillomandibular relationship, 120, 120f–121f
 transverse components of, 115
 vertical components of, 115
Protemp 4, 135, 135f, 140, 143

- Provisional prosthesis
 abutments of, 176–179, 177f
 in cancer patients, 193–194, 194f
 conversion of, 176
 delivery of, 179–180
 denture conversion into, 151f
 evaluation of, 180
 functions of, 172
 hygiene for, 179
 imaging of, 163f
 laboratory-fabricated, indirect technique with
 advantages of, 138
 description of, 138
 heat-curing acrylic resin used in, 138–140
 milled PMMA used in, 141–143, 141f–143f
 metal substructure of, 130, 130f
 try-in, 179, 179f
- Pterygoid, 34
- Pterygoid area, 85f
- Pterygoid implants
 advantages of, 92–93
 anatomy of, 85–86
 angulation of, 86, 86f
 case examples of, 90f–92f
 in combination therapy with zygoma and tilted implants,
 100f
 definition of, 86
 description of, 81
 drilling for, 86, 87f
 flapless surgical approach for, 89, 89f
 guided surgery for, 89, 89f
 history of, 81
 hydroxyapatite/tricalcium phosphate coating on, 81
 illustration of, 81f
 overview of, 80–81
 placement of, 85–86
 radiographic view of, 4f
 rationale for, 85–86
 recipient site anatomy for, 82, 82f–83f
 Soft technique for, 87, 88f–89f
 surgical techniques for, 86–88, 87f–88f
- Pterygoid plexus, 35, 45
- Pterygoid process, 85f
- Pterygomaxillary fissure, 84
- Pterygomaxillary implants, 86
- Pterygomaxillary suture, 82
- Pyramidal process, of palatine bone, 82, 83f, 85f
- Q**
- Quad zygoma implants
 adjunctive procedures with, 105
 anatomy of, 105
 anterior, 69f
 case presentation, 150–157, 150f–157f
 complications of, 111
 definition of, 41
 imaging of, 104f
 indications for, 42, 105
 in maxillofacial trauma patient, 196f
 placement of
 guided, 71–76, 71f–76f
 Slot technique for, 107–109
 standard technique for, 66–70, 67f–70f
 posterior, 69f, 106, 108
 studies of, 96–97
 surgical options for, 105–110, 107f, 110f
- R**
- Reline, 180
- Residual alveolar ridge, 47, 47f
- Retromandibular vein, 35–36
- S**
- Screw-retained milled bar, 147f
- Screw-retained record base, 182
- Sheffield test, 136, 137f, 140, 181
- Silicone matrix, 172
- Sine error, 51, 51f
- Sinus membrane, 14
- Sinus slot technique. *See* Slot technique.
- Sinus window technique, 105, 107f
- Sinusitis, 6, 13, 48, 101, 158
- Skeletal maxillomandibular relationship, 120, 120f–121f
- Slot technique
 description of, 6, 13–15, 49, 107–108
 extended sinus technique, 108–109
- Smile, 166, 167f, 168
- Smile arc, 166, 167f
- Smile line, 166
- Snap-on PMMA prosthesis, digital impression with fabrication
 of metal bar and, 143–148, 144f–148f
- Soft technique, for pterygoid implants, 87, 88f–89f
- Soft tissue
 dehiscence of, 111f, 159, 159f
 evaluation of, 180
 retraction of, 5
- Sphenozygomatic suture, 43f
- Spontaneous smile, 166
- Stella slot perforation, 117
- Stensen's duct, 108
- Stent, 64f, 65
- Stereolithographic model, 46f, 54, 55f, 63, 64f, 66, 67f, 73f,
 145f, 191f
- Stress shielding, 36
- Subcutaneous malar emphysema, 111
- Superior alveolar veins, 35
- Surgical approaches
 Brånemark's, 48–49, 71
 classic, 106–107, 107f, 124
 complications of, 6

INDEX

- computer-guided, 62–66, 63f–66f
cone-beam computed tomography landmarks for, 46–48, 46f–48f
description of, 48–49, 50f, 116–117
extrasinus technique, 6, 8f, 14, 105–106, 109–110, 110f–111f
freehand placement, 53–62, 54f–62f, 150, 151f
guided surgery, 66
implant kits for, 51–53, 51f–52f, 99f
incursions during, 6, 7f
instrumentation for, 51–53, 51f–52f
intrasinus, 4–6, 5f, 13f–15f, 15, 105
irrigation during, 116
modified, 6, 8
original, 4–6, 5f, 13f–15f, 15, 49, 49f
postoperative procedures, 6
sinus window technique, 105, 107f
slot technique. *See* Slot technique.
ZAGA. *See* ZAGA.
Surgical guide, 170, 171f
- T**
- Temporal bone, 7f
Temporization, 133
Temporozygomatic suture, 43f
3D-printed anatomical models, 22, 23f, 116f
Tilted implants
 anterior, 98
 in combination therapy with zygoma and pterygoid implants, 100f
 radiographic view of, 4f, 9f
 zygoma implants and, 41–42, 42f
Titanium cylinders
 description of, 134, 135f, 137f, 139
 over multiunit abutments, 139f, 142f
Transverse dental projection, 168
Trigeminal nerve, second branch of, 34
Trismus, 4
Tunneling stent, 64f, 65, 74f–75f
Tunnel-shaped osteotomy, 17, 19f
Type 2 diabetes mellitus, 39
- V**
- VDO. *See* Vertical dimension of occlusion.
Verification jig, 152, 152f, 183f, 184
Versah drill, 27f
Vertical dimension of occlusion, 97, 168, 173f, 173–174, 185
Vertical maxillary excess, 115
Vertical releasing incisions, 66, 74
Virtual planning, 170
von Mises stress, 47
- Wolff's law, 36
- Z**
- ZAGA
 advantages of, 49, 158f, 164, 165f
 antrostomy zone of, 16, 16f
 case discussion of, 24–26, 24f–28f
 classifications, 17–19, 18f–19f, 41, 42f
 criteria for, 15–16
 definition of, 15–16
 description of, 6, 8, 46–47, 49, 71, 160
 Flat implants, 20f, 20–21, 25f, 27f
 history of, 15
 objectives for, 17
 rationale for, 17
 Round implants, 20f, 20–21, 25, 27f
 summary of, 29
 3D-printed anatomical models, 22, 23f
 type 0, 17, 18f, 21, 50f
 type 1, 17, 18f, 21, 50f
 type 2, 18, 18f, 26f, 50f
 type 3, 18, 18f, 21, 50f
 type 4, 18f, 18–19, 50f
 zones of, 16, 16f, 41–42, 42f
 zygoma implant critical zone of, 15–16, 16f
 zygoma implant stability, 19–20, 20f
 zygomatic anchoring zone of, 16, 16f
ZAGA Centers Network, 22, 22f–23f, 25
ZAZ. *See* Zygomatic anchoring zone.
ZICZ. *See* Zygoma implant critical zone.
Zirconia crowns, 155, 155f
Zygoma
 anatomy of, 3, 43–45, 47f, 43f–44f, 105
 cone-beam computed tomography of, 46, 47f, 170
 malar surface of, 44
 orbital surface of, 44
 surfaces of, 44, 44f
 temporal surface of, 44
Zygoma anatomy-guided approach. *See* ZAGA.
Zygoma implant
 anatomy of, 3, 43–45, 44f–45f
 angulation of, 110f, 149, 175, 175f
 anterior, 42, 62, 62f
 apical portion of, 3f
 cantilever length of, 169
 combination protocol using
 anteroposterior implant spread, 97
 description of, 117
 indications for, 95–96
 number and position of implants in, 96
 postoperative procedures, 101
 pretreatment evaluations, 96
 as prosthesis-driven procedure, 96
 pterygoid implants, 100f

- quad zygoma implants versus, 96
 studies of, 97
 surgical planning for, 96
 team-centered approach, 96
 tilted implants, 95, 97
 2 + 2, 97–98
 2 + 4, 97–98
 two-stage vs. immediate loading, 101
- complications of
 description of, 6, 13, 111, 117, 119f
 diving board effect, 159, 159f
 early-onset, 158
 intrasurgical, 157, 157f
 late-onset, 158
 prosthetic, 158–159, 159f
 soft tissue dehiscence, 111f, 159, 159f
- computer-guided placement of, 62–66, 63f–66f
 contraindications for, 4, 43
 definition of, 41
 delayed loading of, 180
 design of, 3f, 106, 174
 evaluation of, 180
 flat, 20f, 20–21, 25f
 goal of, 162
 immediate loading of, 101, 106, 132–133, 165, 190–192
 impression for, 151–152, 152f
 indications for, 4, 22, 41–42, 42f
 internal connections, 174, 175f
 learning curve for placement of, 17
 length of, 106
 maintenance of, 185–186
 master impression for, 181–185, 182f–184f
 material selection for, 149–150
 multiunit abutments with, 174
 occlusal adjustments, 185
 overview of, 2, 9, 31, 162
 patient selection for, 4
 perioperative overview of, 4–8, 5f–9f
 placement of. *See also* Surgical approaches.
 antibiotic prophylaxis before, 53–54
 classic technique for, 106–107, 107f, 124
 computer-guided, 62–66, 63f–66f
 description of, 5–6, 7f, 17, 122, 174–175
 extrasinus, 6, 8f, 14, 105–106, 109–110, 110f–111f
 flap reflection for, 98f
 freehand, 53–62, 54f–62f, 150, 151f
 illustration of, 99f
 immediate, 192
 in maxillofacial trauma patient, 196f
 piezoelectric surgical technique for. *See* Piezoelectric surgical technique.
 prosthodontically driven, 159f
 posterior, 5, 42
 prosthetic components required for, 53
 prosthetic restoration of. *See* Prosthetic restoration.
 quad. *See* Quad zygoma implants.
 radiographic view of, 4f, 9f
 rationale for, 3, 3f
 restorative space in, 149
 round, 20f, 20–21
 single
 computer-guided placement of, 62–66, 63f–66f
 freehand placement of, 53–62, 54f–62f
 specifications of, 3
 stability of, 19–20
 surface of, 12
 surgical guide for, 170, 171f
 survival rates of, 12–13, 165
 tapered, 174
 tilted implant and, 41–42, 42f
 two-piece, 174
- Zygoma implant critical zone, 15–16, 16f, 19
 Zygoma implant kits, 51–53, 51f–52f, 99f
 Zygomatic anchoring zone, 16, 16f
 Zygomatic arch, 7f
 Zygomatic bone
 anatomy of, 3, 7f, 43–44, 43f–44f, 105, 170
 preparation of, for piezoelectric surgery, 128, 128f
 Zygomatic nerve, 44f
 Zygomatic Notch Retractor, 106, 107f
 Zygomaticofacial foramen, 44, 44f
 Zygomaticofacial nerve, 34, 44, 44f
 Zygomaticomaxillary suture, 43f
 Zygomaticotemporal foramen, 45, 45f
 Zygomaticotemporal nerve, 34
 Zygomaticus major, 45
 Zygomaticus minor, 45